

**Role of clinical assessment and kinematic analysis for bradykinesia detection in essential tremor**

*Giulia Paparella*<sup>1</sup>, A. De Biase<sup>2</sup>, L. Angelini<sup>2</sup>, A. Cannavacciuolo<sup>2</sup>, D. Colella<sup>2</sup>, A. Guerra<sup>1</sup>, A. Berardelli<sup>1,2</sup>, M. Bologna<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>IRCCS Neuromed, Pozzilli (IS), Italy

<sup>2</sup>Department of Human Neurosciences, Sapienza, University of Rome, Rome, Italy

*Introduction:* Movement slowness (here specifically referred to as bradykinesia) is a common, yet still unrecognized movement abnormality in patients with essential tremor (ET) [1-3].

*Aims:* to investigate whether reduced movement velocity in ET patients, as demonstrated by kinematic analysis of finger tapping, is also clinically detectable.

*Methods:* We retrospectively analyzed the video recordings of finger tapping performed by 58 patients with ET (further divided in two sub-groups: 30 ‘slow-ET’ and 28 ‘non-slow-ET’ according to kinematic analysis<sup>1</sup>), 30 patients with Parkinson’s disease (PD) and 30 healthy subjects (HCs). The video assessment was carried out by 4 blinded neurologists, according to the item 3.4 (finger tapping) of the Movement Disorders Society-Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale. We compared the mean scores obtained in the three groups by a Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA. The inter-raters’ agreement was calculated by the Fleiss’ K.

*Results:* As expected, Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA showed a significant difference in the blinded finger tapping evaluation between ET, PD and HCs ( $p < 0.001$ ). Namely, the highest scores were observed in PD as compared to the other groups (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation in PD:  $2.21 \pm 0.7$ ). In addition, ET had higher video scores than HCs ( $1.5 \pm 0.59$  vs.  $0.69 \pm 0.49$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). The analysis of the ET subgroups showed higher finger tapping scores in those kinematically categorized as ‘slow-ET’ compared to the ‘non-slow ET’ ( $1.78 \pm 0.57$  vs  $1.2 \pm 0.47$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). Finally, we found a moderate to substantial agreement between raters in the three groups (Fleiss K=0.41 for ET, 0.62 for PD and 0.42 for HCs). Among the ‘slow-ET’ patients, however, 8/30 patients (26.6%) had been considered normal or only slightly impaired at the blinded video evaluation.

*Conclusions:* The present results may be relevant when considering patients categorization into ET – plus<sup>3</sup>, thus emphasizing the need of a careful clinical and kinematic assessment of bradykinesia in ET.

**References**

- [1] M. Bologna, G. Paparella, D. Colella, A. Cannavacciuolo, L. Angelini, D. Alunni-Fegatelli, A. Guerra, A. Berardelli. Is there evidence of bradykinesia in essential tremor? *Eur J Neurol.* 2020 Aug;27(8):1501-1509.
- [2] G. Paparella, A. Fasano, M. Hallett, A. Berardelli, M. Bologna. Emerging concepts on bradykinesia in non-parkinsonian conditions. *Eur J Neurol.* 2021 Jul;28(7):2403-2422.
- [3] K.P. Bhatia, P. Bain, N. Bajaj, R.J. Elble, M. Hallett, E.D. Louis, J. Raethjen, M. Stamelou, C.M. Testa, G. Deuschl. Tremor Task Force of the International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society. Consensus Statement on the classification of tremors. from the task force on tremor of the International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society. *Mov Disord.* 2018 Jan;33(1):75-87.